PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 02P00480PC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/002534	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 March 2004 (02.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
International Patent Classification (8tl See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant FUJI ELECTRIC HOLDINGS CO.,	LTD.		

1.	This international preliminary rep International Searching Authority	ort on patentability (Chapter under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this cov	ver sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any referer to the international preliminary re	nce to the written opinion of toport on patentability (Chapte	he International Searching Authority should be read as a reference r I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications re	elating to the following items	:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	·
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opini applicability	on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	national application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international application
4.	The International Bureau will cornot, except where the applicant mate (Rule 44bis .2).	nmunicate this report to designakes an express request unde	gnated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but or Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			Date of issuance of this report 29 November 2006 (29.11.2006)
	The International Burea 34, chemin des Colo 1211 Geneva 20, Sw	mbettes	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara
Facsir	nile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt07@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 02P00480PC Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 02.03.2004 PCT/JP2004/002534 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant FUJI ELECTRIC HOLDINGS CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Telephone No. Facsimile No.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/002534

Box	No.I	Basis of this opinion	
1.		egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it will be unless otherwise indicated under this item.	/as
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
	_	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (und	er
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With i	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claim ion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	ed
	a. t	ype of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b . 1	ormat of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. 1	ime of filing/furnishing	
	Γ	contained in the international application as filed.	
	Ī	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	Ī	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		in addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	as
4.	Additi	onal comments:	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/002534

Box			ile 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Cļaims	1-11	YES
	•	Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-11	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claims		NO
		,		

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2002-289768, A (Rohm Co., Ltd.), 4 October, 2002 (04.10.02), Full text; all drawings

Document 2: JP, 2001-274201, A (Toshiba Corp.), 5 October, 2001 (05.10.01), Full text; all

Document 3: JP, 8-003732, A (Seiko Instruments Inc.), 9 January, 1996 (09.01.96), Full text; all drawings

The subject matter of claim 1 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR. Document 1 effectively suggests bonding between the electrodes on a semiconductor chip through solid-liquid diffusion of a low-melting-point metal layer. So, it is easy for a person skilled in the art to apply such a bonding method to the packaging of an electronic component on a circuit board as described in document 2.

The subject matter of claim 2 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2. It is easy for a person skilled in the art to employ the material used for the first and/or the second bonding metal layers described in [0015] and [0016] of document 2 as a substitute for the second metal described in document 1.

The subject matter of claim 3 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2. Either of the subject matters described in documents 1 and 2 envisages bonding at lower temperatures, so it is easy for a person skilled in the art to conduct bonding at a heating temperature within the range described in claim 3.

The subject matter of claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2. The upper and lower limits of the total thickness of the lowmeltingpoint metal layer as defined in claim 4 are not considered to carry any critical significance, and such a thickness is a parameter that can be set, as required, according to the bonding conditions. So, it is easy for a person skilled in the art to arrive at a thickness within the range specified in claim 4.

The subject matter of claim 5 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2. Reference to the material described in claim 5 is found in [0014] of document 2.

The subject matter of claim 6 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2. The specification on roughness of the electrode surface set forth in claim 6 does not exhibit a particularly special effect and therefore, is considered to be a mere matter of design variation that a person skilled in the art could have easily arrived at as required. During the process of bonding the electrodes, while pressing them against each other for better contact is a natural step to be taken into

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/002534

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

account, plasticdeformation of the electrodes is also a phenomenon that can be frequently induced by such pressure application.

The subject matters of claims 7 and 8 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2. There seems to be no special difference in effect whether heating and pressure application are continued until the completion of solid-liquid diffusion or until an intermediary alloy layer is formed; therefore, employing either of these approaches is a mere matter of design variation that can be arrived at by a person skilled in the art.

The subject matter of claim 9 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2. For the process of laminating lowmeltingpoint metal layers, which are then formed into an alloy layer, see Fig. 3 (B) of document 2. Furthermore, although claim 9 specifies a requirement of taking the trouble to "preheat", this extra step does not appear to bring about any new special technical effect.

The subject matters of claims 10 and 11 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 3 cited in the ISR, and documents 1 and 2. In an alloy vapor deposition process, vapor pressure and activity coefficients are non-negligible parameters, as suggested by formula (3) of document 3. Therefore, by controlling these parameter values, a person skilled in the art can easily form the second metal described in document 1.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/002534

x No. VI	Certain documents c				
Certain p	ublished documents (Rule	43 <i>bis</i> .1 and	70.10)		
	Application No. Patent No.		Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim (day/month/year)
JI	2004-111935	A	08.04.2004	22.08.2003	30.08.2002
JI	2004-111936	5 A	08.04.2004	22.08.2003	30.08.2002
		•			
	•				
				-	
Non-wri	iten disclosures (Rule 43 <i>bi</i> s	s.1 and 70.9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Non-wri	iten disclosures (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> Kind of non-written disc		Date of non-written of (day/month/yer	lisclosure referri	ate of written disclosure ng to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
Non-wri	•		Date of non-written d	lisclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri	•		Date of non-written d	lisclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri	•		Date of non-written d	lisclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri	•		Date of non-written d	lisclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri	Kind of non-written disc		Date of non-written of day/month/yea	lisclosure referrii	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri	Kind of non-written disc	closure	Date of non-written de (day/month/yea	lisclosure referrii	ng to non-written disclosure
_	Kind of non-written disc	closure	Date of non-written of day/month/yet	lisclosure referrii	ng to non-written disclosure
_	Kind of non-written disc	closure	Date of non-written de (day/month/yet	lisclosure referrii	ng to non-written disclosure
	Kind of non-written disc	closure	Date of non-written de (day/month/yet	lisclosure referrii	ng to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
	Kind of non-written disc	closure	Date of non-written de (day/month/yet	lisclosure referrii	ng to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
	Kind of non-written disc	closure	Date of non-written de (day/month/yet	lisclosure referrii	ng to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
	Kind of non-written disc	closure	Date of non-written of (day/month/yet	lisclosure referrii	ng to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
	Kind of non-written disc	closure	Date of non-written of day/month/yet	lisclosure referring	ng to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)